



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,779	01/14/2004	Ting-Wen Su	SUTI3001/EM	3118
23364	7590	10/31/2006	EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GOMA, TAWFIK A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/756,779	SU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tawfik Goma	2627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishiwata et al (US 6792013).

Regarding claim 9, Ishiwata discloses a method for controlling a data write operation in an optical storage system comprising the steps of: executing a short-term mode for initializing a write-control signal by using virtually grounding effect (col. 7 lines 55-63 and fig. 4); executing a long-term mode for charging the write-control signal by using virtually grounding effect (col. 8 lines 1-19 and fig. 4); and executing a closed-loop mode for employing the charged write-control signal for recording data onto a compact disk (col. 8 lines 47-64). Since the op amp draws no current due to high impedance, when V^+ is grounded, a virtual ground effect is created and V^- is virtually ground also.

Regarding claim 10, Ishiwata further discloses a step of initializing the write-control signal within the short-term mode (col. 7 lines 55-63).

Regarding claim 11, Ishiwata further discloses further a step of using a digital to analog control signal to control the charging operation of the write-control signal (6, 8 , fig. 3).

Regarding claim 12, Ishiwata further discloses wherein in the closed-loop mode, the write-control signal is used for controlling the recording operation (figs. 3,4 and col. 9 lines 1-32).

Regarding claim 13, Ishiwata further discloses a step of re-executing the long-term mode after the recording operation for re-initializing the write-control signal (write, read, write, fig. 4).

Regarding claim 14, Ishiwata further disclose a step of using a first time period control signal, a second time period control signal and a third time period control signal for controlling the operational amplifier to be operated in the short-term mode, the long-term mode and the closed-loop mode, in which the first and second time period control signals are switched between a first level and a second level (C0-C4, fig. 3 and col. 7 lines 18-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8, 15-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishiwata et al (US 6792013) in view of Dosho et al (US 5822236).

Regarding claim 1, Ishiwata discloses an apparatus for controlling a data write operation in an optical storage system comprising an operational amplifier having a positive input end, a negative input end and an output end for outputting a write-control signal at the output end (fig. 3 and col. 1 lines 17-23), the operational amplifier being operated in one of a short-term mode, a long-term mode and a closed-loop mode (figs. 2-4); wherein in the long-term mode, the operational amplifier charges the write-control signal (Initiation, figs. 2 and 4); and in the closed-loop mode, the charged write-control signal is employed to record data on a CD (Steady, figs. 2 and 4). Ishiwata fails to disclose wherein in short-term mode, the operational amplifier is formed as a voltage follower for initializing the write-control signal. Ishiwata does disclose that the write control signal is initiated to 0V prior to the write mode being initiated (WLD, fig. 4) and that the circuit is not limited to the switching operations disclosed (col. 10 lines 48-53). In the same field of endeavor, Dosho discloses a read/write device for a memory in which a reset mode (short-term mode) is used prior to reading/writing and that the reset configuration is a voltage follower (figs. 3 (b) and 32, fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Ishiwata by providing a reset mode as taught by Dosho. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to provide a short-term or reset mode in order to discharge any remaining parasitic charge in the capacitor (Dosho abstract).

Regarding claims 2 and 17, Dosho further discloses wherein in the short-term mode, the positive input end of the operational amplifier is coupled to a reference voltage, and the negative input end thereof is coupled to the output end for forming a voltage follower so as to initialize the write-control signal (3, fig. 4).

Regarding claims 3 and 18, Ishiwata further discloses wherein in the long-term mode, the positive input end and the negative input end of the operational amplifier are virtually grounded (Initiation, fig. 4). With the switch states in initiation mode, the negative terminal is set to open while the positive terminal is set to ground which creates a virtual ground due to the opamp high impedance ($V^- = V^+$).

Regarding claim 4 and 19, Ishiwata further discloses wherein in the long-term mode, the output end of the operational amplifier is coupled to the negative input end thereof and the positive input end of the operational amplifier couples to a voltage level used for recording data onto a compact disk for charging the write-control signal ($C_2 = 1$, fig. 3 and Initiation, fig. 4).

Regarding claim 5, Ishiwata further discloses wherein in the long-term mode, the operational amplifier charges the write-control signal to the voltage level used for recording data onto the compact disk (Initiation, fig. 4 and col. 8 lines 1-19).

Regarding claim 8 and 22, Dosho further discloses wherein when the writing operation is completed, the short-term mode is actuated again so as to initialize the write-control signal again (fig. 1b).

Regarding claim 15, Ishiwata discloses a read/write device used in an optical storage system comprising (fig. 3): a read-control device for generating a read-control signal in response to a feedback control signal (31, fig. 3); a write-control device having an operational amplifier for generating a write-control signal in response to the feedback control signal (11, fig. 3), wherein the operational amplifier is formed for initializing the write-control signal when being operated in a short-term mode (col. 7 lines 55-63); the operational amplifier charges the write-control signal in advance when being operated in a long-term mode (Initiation, fig. 4); the

charged write-control signal is used to control an operation of recording data onto a compact disk when the operational amplifier is operated in a closed-loop mode(fig. 4 and col. 9 lines 11-31); and a read/write head for generating a laser beam in response to the read-control signals, the write-control signals, a read-enable signal, and a write-enable signal (1, 5, fig. 3), wherein the read/write head generates a feedback signal based on the laser beam for being fed back to the read-control device and the write-control device (2, fig. 3). Ishiwata fails to disclose a voltage follower configuration for the short-term mode but Dosho discloses the voltage follower for the short-term mode with the rationale as applied to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 16, Ishiwata further discloses wherein the operational amplifier has a positive input end, a negative input end and an output end, and the output end of the operational amplifier serves for outputting the write-control signal (4, fig. 3).

Claims 6-7 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishiwata et al (US 6792013) in view of Dosho (US 5822236) as applied to claims 1-5, 8, 15-19 and 22 above, and further in view of Inaba et al (US 5477557).

Regarding claims 6-7 and 20-21, Ishiwata in view of Dosho fail to disclose a sampling and holding circuit and a gain amplifier, in which the sampling and holding circuit receives the feedback control signal and the feedback control signal is amplified by the gain amplifier, and the feedback control signal is amplified before being sent to the negative input end of the operational amplifier. In the same field of endeavor, Inaba discloses a laser drive circuit wherein a feedback signal is provided to the driving amplifier circuit through a gain amplifier and a sample and hold circuit (fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the device disclosed by Iswhiwata in view of

Art Unit: 2627

Dosho by providing a gain amplifier and sample and hold circuit as taught by Inaba. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to provide a gain amplifier and sample and hold circuits in order to a stable and detectable feedback signal from the photo-detector.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tawfik Goma whose telephone number is (571) 272-4206. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



T. Goma
10/26/2006



William Korzuch
WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600